

## CCDG Consultation Response Template

Date **17 December 2020**

Classification **Public**

Document owner **Elxon**

Document version **Version 1.1**

### Respondent information

Your name	Mark Jones	
Your company	SSE Energy Supply Limited	
Type of company	Supplier	
Contact details	Email: mark.jones@sse.com	Phone: 07810 858716
Confidential Y/N	No	

A Webinar on the consultation will be held in early 2021 if you wish to get an overview of the changes before responding.

### Please:

- Email your response to [CCDGsecretary@elxon.co.uk](mailto:CCDGsecretary@elxon.co.uk) by **08:00 (8am) on 26 January 2021**, using the subject line 'CCDG consultation response'.
- Use this Word response form where possible to make it easier for the CCDG to identify and summarise views.
- Provide supporting reasons for your answers to help the CCDG understand your response.
- Identify clearly which, if any, aspects of your response are confidential. We will not publish any information marked as confidential, or share this with the CCDG. However, Ofgem will see all responses in full. We encourage you to provide non-confidential responses where possible, to inform the CCDG's discussions.

Email Elxon's MHHS team at [CCDGsecretary@elxon.co.uk](mailto:CCDGsecretary@elxon.co.uk) with any questions. More information can be found on the [CCDG webpage](#)

**Question 1. Do you agree that the detailed MHHS TOM design is consistent with the Design Working Group's preferred Target Operating Model?**

**Yes**

**Rationale: The detailed MHHS TOM design has been thoroughly reviewed by the CCDG.**

**Question 2. Do you have any specific comments on the proposed set of detailed data items or associated transition requirements set out for the MHHS TOM**

**Comments can be in relation to any or all of the areas set out by the CCDG under Section A.**

**No**

**Rationale:** The requirements in these areas have been analysed in detail by the CCDG.

**Question 3. Do you agree that the TOM should not include a process for correcting Settlement volumes associated with ETs?**

**No**

**Rationale:** We believe that the TOM should include a process for correcting Settlement volumes associated with ETs, at least in the interim, until all processes have been established and the anticipated data quality improvements have been proven, together with the completion of any unexpected code fixes for “teething troubles” after MHHS implementation.

**Question 4. What impact would the lack of a process to correct ET Settlement volumes have on your organisation?**

**Response:** We are unsure at this time, but it could be fairly significant.

**Rationale:** For the reasons mentioned in the response to question 3. MHHS is a very big change and there could be initial process and data quality issues. Parties could make mistakes when using the new functionality which could lead to a much higher level of ETs than anticipated.

**Question 5. Are there any non-Settlement reasons why your organisation would require new Related MPANs to be created in the target end state?**

**Yes**

**Rationale:** Related MPANs may still be required for billing purposes, especially in the transition period, and possibly in the interim after MHHS implementation.

**Question 6. Do you have any specific comments on the proposed detailed processes, or associated transition requirements, set out in Section B for the MHHS TOM?**

**No**

**Rationale:** The requirements in these areas have been analysed in detail by the CCDG.

**Question 7. Do you agree that the detailed MHHS TOM design meets Ofgem’s Design and Development Principles?**

**Yes**

**Rationale:** The TOM design has been thoroughly reviewed against Ofgem's Design and Development Principles

**Question 8. Do you believe that all the major changes to the Industry Code documents required to deliver the MHHS TOM have been identified?**

**Yes**

**Rationale:** A full review appears to have been undertaken by the CCDG.

**Question 9. Do you think there are any drivers for changing the scope and/or structure of the BSCPs impacted by MHHS?**

**Yes**

**Rationale:** As roles will be changing significantly with the introduction of MHHS it may be an opportunity to restructure the BSCPs to create more efficient processes under the BSC.

**Question 10. Do you have any other comments?**

**No**

**Rationale:**