
P427 Workgroup 3 Summary

Summary

1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- Recap of Workgroup meeting 2
- Agree on the updated redlining and written process for the Modification and review the feedback from Legal
- Vote on the required objectives for the Modification
- Confirm next steps

2. Discussion of thresholds and data to be published

- 2.2 The Workgroup suggested and Elexon agreed that we should include a caveat that Trading Disputes data should only be published where it is clear which party is the cause for the fault, similar to the caveat we added in for Technical Assurance Agent (TAA) data.
- 2.3 Elexon confirmed to the Workgroup that if a Party's data is going to be published under the Code provisions introduced by P427, they will be notified ahead of time, giving them a chance to appeal to the Panel before the data is published.
- 2.4 Elexon presented the currently drafted redlining which outlined the triggers for when data can be published.
- 2.4.1 One such trigger would be when a Performance Assurance Party's (PAP's) contribution to a Settlement Risk(s) results in an impact of a de-minimums value initially proposed to be set at £1,000,000 or more on other Market Participants. The Workgroup suggested that there should be two thresholds for when data should be published:
- The monetary value impacting one Party; and
 - The monetary value impacting the market as a whole.
- 2.4.2 Elexon were asked to endeavour to align with other processes (such as Risk Evaluation Register scoring and the BSC Audit threshold for Qualification) when determining the best values for these single and market-wide impacts.
- 2.5 The Workgroup had concerns that these thresholds may protect smaller Parties that caused many errors but never hit the required impact thresholds and thus their data wouldn't be published. Elexon suggested that that if a Party caused many Settlement errors, they were likely to be put in EFR, which is another trigger to publish data. Additionally the offending Party would also still have their poor performance published publicly in any leader boards proposed for publication by the PAB.

3. Voting

- 3.2 The Workgroup voted by a majority 4:2 in favour that as part of its recommendation to the Panel of what data to publish, data should be published both in terms of the aggregated performance across all GSP Groups but also in terms of the Performance in each GSP Group.
- 3.3 The Workgroup voted unanimously that P427 should not follow the Self-Governance route.
- 3.4 The Workgroup voted by majority that P427 better facilitates the BSC Objectives C (Promoting effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as consistent therewith) promoting such competition in the sale and purchase of electricity) and D (Promoting efficiency in the implementation of the balancing and settlement arrangements).
- 3.5 The Workgroup voted unanimously that P427 does not impact the European Electricity Balancing Guideline (EBGL) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the BSC.
- 3.6 The Workgroup voted unanimously that P427 should be implemented 3 November 2022 as part of the standard November BSC release (subject to redlining).

4. Next steps/Actions

- **Elexon** to confirm whether SVA MOAs can be included within the scope of publishing data;
- **Elexon** to review the thresholds that trigger data being published;
- **Elexon** to circulate the Assessment Procedure Consultation for review;
- **Elexon** to circulate the updated redlining; and
- Hold another Workgroup meeting to finalise voting on redlining.